

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The reply filed on 07/16/10 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Newly submitted claims 4, 11, 19, 21, 22, 26-32 and 36-57 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Group I, claims 4, 11, 19, 21, 22, 26-32, 36-44 and 51-53 is drawn to a self contained wireless mobile communication terminal apparatus, classified in class 455, subclass unknown; Group II, claim 45 is drawn to an integrated electronic control system for a multimedia apparatus, classified in class 340, subclass unknown; Group III, claims 46-48 is drawn to a self-contained wireless remote control apparatus, class 704, subclass unknown; Group IV, claims 49 and 50 is drawn to a hand held wireless remote controller, class 370, subclass unknown; Group V, claims 54-57, is drawn to a method of operating a plurality of wireless mobile communication terminals class 700, subclass unknown.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 4, 11, 19, 21, 22, 26-32, 36-57 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on 571-272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bena Miller/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725
October 12, 2010